

DOON VALLEY LOCAL COMMITTEE - 19 MARCH 2002

QUESTION AND ANSWER SESSION

WEST OF SCOTLAND WATER AUTHORITY

The presentation by West of Scotland Water Authority and their response to the public's questions cover the following points:-

- the background and details of their proposals to replace the water supply;
- the responsibilities of West of Scotland Water Authority on the provision of a public water supply;
- incidences of bacteriological failures the Authority had on record in respect of the present Rankinston spring supply;
- the requirements on West of Scotland Water Authority under the Water Quality Regulation standards;
- the benefits of the proposed new water supply to the residents of Rankinston;
- confirmation that the upgrading of the present spring supply (as opposed to replacement) was not economically viable;
- the decision by local farmers to be supplied privately with water was outwith West of Scotland Water Authority's control; and
- issues of bacteriological tests with reference to bacteriological tests carried out by Environmental Health.

In the discussion on the above mentioned points, the residents of Rankinston also expressed their support for the preservation of the present spring supply and their concerns with regard to the implications for Rankinston as a result of the introduction of the new water supply.

OTHER ISSUES RAISED

ISSUES RAISED	RESPONSE/ACTION REQUIRED
Mr Shennan asked what the timescales and costs were for the new playpark facilities at Rankinston.	The Administration Manager explained that the playpark provision consultation exercise was now complete. He confirmed that the matter would be investigated and that Mr Shennan would be informed of the outcome.
Mr Shennan made reference to a letter he had forwarded to the Roads Department regarding the traffic calming measures at Rankinston and asked if there had been any outcome in relation to this.	The Administration Manager explained that the Roads Department were not represented at the meeting, but he would investigate the matter and Mr Shennan would be informed of the outcome.

LC/SM
20 March 2002